Non-flexible loops of loose Legendrians in 30 (j.w. in progress with tabio Ginerelles) (Main chanceters: (M,) = Conkert 3-menifold $L(M, \frac{3}{2}) \equiv \begin{cases} \text{Legondricun embeddings} \\ \text{in } (M, \frac{3}{2}) \end{cases}$ FL(M, =) = { formal rependrion embeddings }

in (M, =) Bundle nonemouphism TS' CS TM FO=dr S' CS & FI(TS') C & Some for links Classical Legendrian Knot Ilink theory concerns the

study of the induced map at path-wonnected components. no(e): no L (M(\$) ____ > no JL(M, \$) Legerdinans are formuly isotopic

Three megion advances in the study of noce): Some classical inv. So: * I] (Mit) is hight then notic) is not surjective

(Benneguin for (53, 7sbd), Eliashberg in general) ~ 46 + (rot/ = -0C(E)

* If (Mit) is OT then noci) is surjective. Io: The mesp nois) is not injective. (There are legerdines with sure descicul (Frazer, Chakarov, Elizaberg,...) invariants but rok Leserdhian iokpic) Co: If we restrict the knot | link type the map could be come injective and the inease could be determined as classification of Legendrican Knot | links (Eliastberg-Frasen for unknots in (Mif hight, Ethyre-Harda for borus Knots in (Si &shi))

Today: We shody the induced map at higher borekopy groups

\[
\Lambda{\text{Kiniter}} \text{ base paint.}

\[
\text{Nighter borekopy groups} \text{Nighter borekopy groups} \text{Nighter forekopy groups} \text{Nighte

· Motivation:

(2) 1-parameter danilles of Legendhians défine

Cograngias in the symphechration of (M1 t)

(Charterine, thatburg-brown)

hegenolnian loops are a fundamental tool in

the study of conservation fillings in (D4, water)

(e.g. Casals-Goo)

(2) Aurametric Jamilies of legeralmians in (12° 1 std)

define higher dimensional legeralmians in

higher dumensional content neurificals

(e.s spinning constructions Ekboh-Hoyre-Sullian)

Ekboh-Hayre-Sullian

"Contectorosphisms" (3) The group Cond(N, \{) = { lebill H1: lx \{ = \}} is "almost" w. n. e to the space of Legendhian embeddings of certain Legendrich graph in (Mix) skeklanogth (F, Kurhner-Asninaga, Presas, '21)

(4) Today a new one!

What do we know about Mc(i)?

SK: The map nucli) is not sunjective

in several on this is done by direct compulation no "deep" resson.

It would be interesting to study this Jor nex-th representatives

(FMP '211

Ck: For some Legendhicurs 1 the map nx(i) becomes injective and the inege can be computed.

(PMP121, F-KIN 123)

e.s. L (, S3, Estd) ~ U(2)

mex-th forus knots,...

Ix: It was open ...

Today K=L

Thm A: (F-Gironella) Let (Mit) be a chosed or contact 2-remijed. Then, Here exists a Legeration (eink) 1 = (M, / such Hat. Ker (n, ci): n, k(m, t), A) -> n, (FL(M, t), A-)) + 407. RMKS: • $(\mu \setminus \Lambda, \xi)$ is $\sigma = \Lambda$ is loose. This should be compared with the JUK h-principle L(MIDOTIF) => FL(MIDOTIF) or disk which Jolans from Eliashbers's of h-principle (See Parias - F 124 Jora proof without Glibshbers's) The invaviount: Legendrian loops and controlonorphisms. Given 1 = (Mit) we am perform Legendhian surgery along it to obtain a new content >-namifold ~> (u(v); (v)) Similarly, siven a loop of Legerdricus 1, ses! we can perform a 1-paremeter tomily of begording surgeries to oblime a burelle xu Ĺu

with liber n'(5) = (M(N5), \$ (N5)) The nonoducing of this burelle is a contendencerphism. (N2) & Conf (M(N)) \$ (N) It Jolleus (dekarls onitted ...) Heat thus defines a group honomorphism. 72 : U'KIM' \$1'T) -> NO COUP (MIV)' \$(V))

This is notication (u) to study begandin loops! we can draw contextonerphisms! (Sugar pichure!)

Thm B: (F-Gironella) Let (N, 3) be a closed or 3-namifold and

1 € (N, ₹) a Legerelrich such Hert (Etryre-Hender) $(N(\Lambda),\xi(\Lambda)) \cong (S^3,\xi_{54d}).$

Let (M, 3) be a closed contest 3-neurifold. Then, the surgery homomorphism

LS1: 17, K (M#D, ₹M#ξD), L) → Πο Cont (M, ₹M) surjects over every Jonally trivial (M#NID)13m#fr(D))

(M# (N(C)), }, #(\$,(A))) contactomorphism. Definition of James contectororphism are just contectionorphisms that topologically look (M#53, \$ # \$ 5 th)

Rmk.

This is the contact and cops of a thm of David Gay about differs in the 4-sphere and loops of 2-spheres, also a thm of Kupers-Krannich in a nore general setup.

Thm B=>Thm A:

· Vogel, Chekener: there exists an or 3-sphere (S³, For) with a formally trivial but non trivial consensorphism.

(53/2cz)



The contaelonorphism switches too non-isotopic or dusks.



- By Glioshbers's h-principle we are write $(\mu, \hat{\tau}) \cong (S^2, \hat{\tau}_{CT}) \# (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\tau})$
- Apply thm β for this pair ((m̂; ξ) = (ν; ξω))

Step II: $(\mu, \xi) = (\mu, \xi) \# (S_3, \xi_5 kd)$ Step II: $(\mu, \xi) \# (\mu, \xi) \# (\mu$

Step III: ℓ reducelly extends to ℓ in $(\mu + S^3(\hat{\Omega}), | \vec{z}_{\mu} + \vec{z}_{SM}(\hat{\Lambda})) = (\mu + \mu, | \vec{z}_{\mu} + \vec{z}_{\mu})$ is brought trivial and likes the or $(\mu, | \vec{z}_{\mu})$ \underline{S} tep \underline{TV} : Apply Glioshberg's μ -principle to

Jind a content isotopy $\hat{\psi}_{t} \in Cont(M\#D, f_{M} \# f_{N}), t \in Coll I$ between $\hat{\psi}_{n} = Jol$

$$\hat{\varphi}_{l} = \hat{\varphi}_{l}$$

The loop is precisely $\hat{Y}_{t}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{t}$. $(\hat{Y}_{0}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{-}, \hat{Y}_{1}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{-}bc. \hat{Y}_{1} = Id!) \bowtie$